

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff brings this action to enjoin defendants from violating the provisions of sections 6, 7, and 11 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended (29 USC §201, et seq.), hereinafter called the Act, and to recover unpaid wages and liquidated damages pursuant to the provisions of sections 15 (a) (2) and 16 (c) of the Act.

I.

Jurisdiction of this action is conferred upon the Court by section 17 of the Act and by 28 USC 1345.

II.

Defendant Le Inc. is, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, a corporation having an office and place of business at 137 Brighton Avenue, Allston, Massachusetts within the jurisdiction of this Court and is now, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, engaged at that place of business, and elsewhere, in the operation of a restaurant under the name Pho Pasteur Restaurant.

Defendant Le & Pham, Inc. is, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, a corporation having an office and place of business at 36 JFK Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts within the jurisdiction of this Court and is now, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, engaged at that place of business, and elsewhere, in the operation of a restaurant under the name Pho Pasteur Restaurant.

IV.

Defendant Le Cafe Inc. is, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, a corporation having an office and place of business at 119 Newbury Street, Boston, Massachusetts within the jurisdiction of this Court and is now, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, engaged at that place of business, and elsewhere, in the operation of a restaurant under the name Pho Pasteur Restaurant.

V.

Defendant Le & T Inc. is, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, a corporation having an office and place of business at 123 Stuart Street, Boston, Massachusetts within the jurisdiction of this Court and is now, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, engaged at that place of business, and elsewhere, in the operation of a restaurant under the name Pho Pasteur Restaurant.

VI.

Defendant Pho Pasteur Inc. is, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, a corporation having an office and place of business at 300 Boylston Street, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts within the jurisdiction of this Court and is now, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, engaged at that place of business, and elsewhere, in the operation of a restaurant under the name Pho Pasteur Restaurant

VII.

Defendant Duyen Le resides at 7 Blueberry Hill Road, Weston, Massachusetts within the jurisdiction of this Court and is now, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, principal owner and operating officer of the aforesaid corporations and as such actively manages, supervises and directs the business affairs and operations of said corporations. This defendant has acted at all times material herein directly and indirectly in the interest of said corporations in relation to their employees and was, and is, therefore, an employer of said employees within the meaning of the Act.

VIII.

Defendants are, and at all times hereinafter mentioned were, engaged in related activities performed through unified operation or common control for a common business purpose, and they are, and at all times hereinafter mentioned were, an enterprise within the meaning of section 3(r) of the Act.

IX.

At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendants employed employees in the activities of said enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, including employees handling, selling or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce. Said enterprise, at all times hereinafter mentioned, has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done in an amount not less than \$500,000.00. Therefore, the said employees have been employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s).

X.

Defendants have willfully and repeatedly violated and are violating the provisions of sections 7 and 15(a)(2) of the Act by employing employees for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours without compensating them for their employment in excess of forty (40) hours in said workweeks, at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which they were employed.

XI.

Defendants have willfully and repeatedly violated and are violating the provisions of sections 11(c) and 15(a)(5) of the Act in that they failed to make, keep and preserve adequate and

accurate records of employees' wages, hours, and other conditions and practices of employment as prescribed by regulations duly issued pursuant to authority granted in the Act and found in 29 CFR 516, in that records fail to show adequately and accurately, among other things, the hours worked each workday and the total hours worked each workweek with respect to many employees and the regular rates at which they were employed.

XII.

During the period since December 4, 2000 defendants have willfully and repeatedly violated and are violating the aforesaid provisions of the Act, as alleged, and a judgment enjoining such violation is expressly authorized by section 17 of the Act.

WHEREFORE, cause having been shown, plaintiff prays judgment permanently enjoining and restraining defendants, their agents, servants, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, or acting in their interest and behalf, from violating the provisions of sections 7 and 11 of the Act, and costs.

XIII.

During the period since December 4, 2000, defendants have willfully and repeatedly violated and are violating the aforesaid provisions of the Act. An award of actual and liquidated damages is specifically authorized by section 16(c) of the Act.

WHEREFORE, cause having been shown, plaintiff demands judgment ordering payment of unpaid wages found by the Court to be due the employees listed in attached Exhibits "A", "B", "C", "D", and "E", plus an equal amount as liquidated damages, and costs.

Post Office Address:

U.S. Department of Labor Office of the Solicitor JFK Federal Bldg., Room E-375 Boston, MA 02203

TEL: 617-565-2500 FAX: 617-565-2142

Howard M. Radzely Solicitor of Labor

Frank V. McDermott, Jr. Regional Solicitør

John S. Casler

Deputy Regional Solicitor

BBÓ# 078060

U.S. Department of Labor

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| 1. | Title of cas | FILED (name of first party on each side only) Elaine L. Chao v. Le Incri jenical (FFICE) | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | STATE OF AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the case belongs based upon the case belongs based upon the case belongs based upon the case belongs by the case belongs by the case belongs by the case belongs by the case of the case belongs by the case by the case belongs by the case belongs by the case | | | | | | | |
| | local rule 40.1(a)(1)). | | | | | | | |
| | <u></u> 1. | 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. DISTRICT OF MASS. | | | | | | |
| | X 11 | 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 892-894, 895, 950. *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases | | | | | | |
| | | $oldsymbol{4}$ | | | | | | |
| | | 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 40, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | 150, 152, 153. | | | | | | |
| 3. | Title and nu this district | nber, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court. | | | | | | |
| 4. | Has a prior | action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? | | | | | | |
| i. | Does the co 28 USC §24 | YES NO X nplaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See | | | | | | |
| | If so, is the I | YES NO X | | | | | | |
| | | YES NO | | | | | | |
| • | is this case | equired to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284? | | | | | | |
| | | YES NO X | | | | | | |
| • | Do <u>all</u> of the Massachuse 40.1(d)). | parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule | | | | | | |
| | | YES X NO | | | | | | |
| | A. | If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? | | | | | | |
| | | Eastern Division X Central Division Western Division | | | | | | |
| | В. | If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? | | | | | | |
| | | Eastern Division Central Division Western Division | | | | | | |
| | lf filing a Noti yes, submit a | ce of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court?(If separate sheet identifying the motions) | | | | | | |
| LEA | SE TYPE OR PE | INT) | | | | | | |
| тог | RNEY'S NAME _ | John S. Casler | | | | | | |
| DR | ESS U.S. | Department of Labor, Office of the Solicitor, JFK Fed. Bldg., Rm. E-375 | | | | | | |
| LEP | HONE NO | 617-565-2500 Boston, MA 022 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

(Coversheetlocal.wpd - 10/17/02)

\$3 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | DEFENDANTS OFFICE | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| ELAINE L. CHAO | , Secretary of L | abor, | | Le Inc., Le & Pham Inc., | | | | |
| | Department of L | | | Le Cafe Inc., Le & B. Ing., | | | | |
| | | | | Pho Passite in Inc., and Duyen Le | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence | | | | | | | | |
| (E, | XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA | ASES) | | (IN U.S. PLAINTINE PASES ONLY) | | | | |
| | | | County of Residence of First Listed Suffolk (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF KARES ONLY) NOTE: IN GANDICONDEMNATION ASIS, USE THE LOCATION OF THE | | | | | |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Na | me, Address, and Telephone Nu | Attorneys (If Kr | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | ott, Jr., Region | | or | | | | | |
| | Deputy Regional | | | | | | | |
| Room E-375, Bos | abor, Solicitor' ton, MA 02203/Te | 1:617-565- | 250 0 | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISI | DICTION (Place an "X" in O | ne Box Only) | III. CITI | ZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPAL PARTIES | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff | | |
| | | | (For Div | versity Cases Only) | DEF | and One Box for Defendant) | | |
| XXI U.S. Government Plaintiff | ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government | Not a Party) | Citizen | of This State | | Principal Place 4 4 n This State | | |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant | nip of Parties | Citizen | of Another State 🛚 | moorpointed thi | d Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5 | | | |
| | in Item III) | | Citiron | ar Subject of a | 2 | | | |
| | , | | | or Subject of a □ gn Country | 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI | | | | | | | | |
| CONTRACT | TORTS | S | FORFE | ITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES | | |
| ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine | | PERSONAL INJURY | | Agriculture | ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 | 400 State Reap portionment | | |
| ☐ 130 Miller Act | 315 Airplane Product | 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice | | Other Food & Drug Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC | □ 423 Withdrawal | 410 Antitrust | | |
| ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment | Liability 320 Assault, Libel & | 365 Personal Injury — | ! | | 28 USC 157 | ☐ 430 Banks and Banking☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. | | |
| & Enforcement of | Slander 🔲 | Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal | | Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck | PROPERTY RIGHTS | 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and | | |
| ☐ JudeMedicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted | 330 Federal Employers' | Injury Product | ☐ 650 | Airline Regs. | ☐ 820 Copyrights | Corrupt Organizations | | |
| Student Loans | ☐ 340 Marine PF | Liability RSONAL PROPERT | | Occupational Safety/Health | ☐ 830 Patent | □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ | | |
| (Excl. Veterns) 153 Recovery of Overpayment | | 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending | □ 690 | Other | 840 Trad emark | Exchange | | |
| of Veteran's Benefits | ☐ 350 M otor V ehicle ☐ | 380 Other Personal | | LABOR | SOCIAL SECURITY | □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 | | |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract | 355 M otor V chicle Product Liability | Property Damage 385 Property Damage | XX 710 | Fair Labor Standards | □ 861 H IA (13 95ff) | ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts | | |
| ☐ 195 Contract P roduct Liability | 360 Other Personal Injury | Product Liability | | Act Labor/M gmt. Relations | □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIW C/DIW W (405 (g)) | 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters | | |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS PR | ISONER PETITIO | NS | | ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI | ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act | | |
| 210 Land Condemnation | ☐ 441 Voting ☐ | 510 M otions to Va cate | | Labor/M gmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act | □ 865 RS I (405(g)) | 895 Freedom of Information Act | | |
| ☐ 220 Force losure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | 442 Employment | Sentence | | Railway Labor Act | FEDERAL TAXSUITS | ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee | | |
| 240 Toris to Land | Accommodations 🔲 | Habeas Corpus: 530 General | ☐ 790 · | Other Labor Litigation | ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff | Defermanea the span and Access to Justice | | |
| 245 Tort P roduct Liability 290 All Other Real Property | | 535 De ath Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe | _ | | or Defendant) | 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | | |
| | i 🗆 | 550 Civil Rights | 1 | Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act | ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party | 890 Other Statutory Actions | | |
| | | 555 Prison Condition | | | 26 USC 7609 | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (PLAC | E AN "X" IN ONE BOX O | NLY) | | Transf | 1 6 | Appeal to | | |
| Variable Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Responded Appellate Court Responded From Proceeding State Court Proceding State Court Proceding State Court Proceeding State Court Proceding State Court Proceeding State Court Proceding State Court Proceding State Court Proceding | | | | | | | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION | ON (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute un | nder which you are film | g and write bri | of statement of cause. | | - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | | |
| To enjoin defend | dants from Viola | ting the p | rovisio | ns of sect | ions 6, 7, and | ll of the Fair | | |
| Labor Standards | Act of 1938, as | amended (2 | 29 USC | Section 20 | l, et seq.). | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN | CHECK IF THIS IS A | | | | | | | |
| COMPLAINT: | UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 | CEASS ACTION | DEM | 1112 3 | JURY DEMAND: | if denranded in complaint: □ Yes XK No | | |
| VIII DELATED CAG | (Sec | | | | JUNI DEMAND: | LIYES ALINO | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASI | | VC | | | | | | |
| II AIN I | E 101 | 70 | | | DOCKET NUMBER | | | |
| DATE (/ | | IGNATURE OF ATTO | RNEY OFFRI | CORD | | | | |
| G (10/04 | , | 10 | 11 | | John C (| | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | <u> //</u> 5 | ~ | - | John S. (| -asiei | | |
| RECEIPT #A | моим | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | MAG. JUD | GE | | |